

United States Patent and Trademark Office

10

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/666,971 09/18/2003 James M. Cleeves 3558P022D 8608 **EXAMINER** 8791 7590 12/16/2005 **BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN** NGUYEN, TUAN H 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030 2813 DATE MAILED: 12/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/666,971	CLEEVES, JAMES M.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tuan H. Nguyen	2813
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 October 2004.		
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41-63</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>55-57</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41-46,48-54,58 and 60-63</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) <u>47 and 59</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)		•
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/18/03	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "third rail-stacks including conductors shared by the first and second cells" as claimed in claim 60 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 60-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In claim 60, last two lines, "the third rail-stacks including conductors shared by the first and second cells" is not supported by the instant specification.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 60-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,971

Art Unit: 2813

Claim 60 is confused and indefinite since it is unclear as to how could the conductor in the third rail stacks be shared by the first cell that is formed in the first rail-stacks separated by the second rail-stacks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 41-46, 48-54, 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Knall et al. (US Pat. No. 6,420,215).

Knall et al., fig. 3 shows a three dimensional memory array comprising a plurality of memory level pairs (36, 37 is one level pair), each memory level pairs including a plurality of memory cells disposed on a first and second level; the memory cells on the first level 36 being coupled to the first (conductor) lines 46 and common lines 54; and the memory cells on the second level 37 being coupled to the second (conductor) lines 63 and the common lines 54.

With respect to claims 42, 45 each memory cell comprises a P/N diode (47/52) and a breached antifuse layer (51) when programmed.

With respect to claim 43, layer 63 is considered as the first line which is disposed the common line 54, and layer 46 is the second line formed below the common line 54.

With respect to claims 44, 58, fig. 3 also shows the plurality of memory levels organized as first alternate levels disposed between second alternate level (memory

cells in levels 35, 37 are the same, and cells in levels 36 and level below level 35 are the same), one terminal of the memory cells in first alternate level 36 and second alternate level 37 being coupled to first line 54 shared by the cells in each pair of the first and second alternate levels 36, 37; the other terminal of the cells in each of the first alternate level being coupled to second line 46; the other terminal of the cells in each of the second alternate level being coupled to third line 63.

With respect to claim 46, the diode comprises an N- region 52 and a P+ region 47.

With respect to claim 48, 50, 51, see col. 5, lines 35-50, col. 6, lines 15-18 wherein the antifuse layer 51 is of silicon dioxide, and the diode 52/47 includes N-/P+ polysilicon layers.

With respect to claims 52, 53, see col. 6, lines 42-47 that teaches the use of Ti silicide for forming first, second and third conductor layer 54, 46, 63.

With respect to claim 54, the shared first line 54 (electrically) contacts the P+ layer 47).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 55-57 are allowed.

Claims 47, 59 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of the references of record teaches or suggests the claimed memory

array including the N- regions in the diodes have a smaller cross-section than the P+ region; or an oxide layer disposed between each of the pair of first and second alternate levels.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Vyvoda et al., Tran, Li et al., and Lee disclose the related memory structures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1694. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead Jr. can be reached on 571-272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/666,971

Art Unit: 2813

Tuan H. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2813 Page 7